

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,509	07/24/2003	Mohsen D. Shabana	GP-302542	1969
7590 05/19/2006		EXAMINER		
KATHRYN A. MARRA General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21 P.O. Box 300 Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DUNN, DAVID R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/626,509	SHABANA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Dunn	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u>	arch 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6,13,14 and 19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7-12,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>		atent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed March 1, 2006.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21 recites the limitation "the driving location". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 12, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bortolon (US 6,364,047) in view of Smythe (US 6,431,304).

Bortolon discloses an adjustable pedal assembly including a track arrangement (20, 22; see Figures 2 & 4) in the vehicle floorboard (32; see Figure 3), one or more foot pedals (12, 14)

Art Unit: 3616

movably mounted in the track arrangement for positioning the pedals with respect to the vehicle longitudinally (see column 2, lines 6-18). Bortolon shows a base (20, 22) which is movable in the track portion, a post connected to the base and movable upward and downward (in a slanted direction, i.e., the post connected to the pedal, see Figure 3), and a beam (14) pivotally supported with respect to the base.

Bortolon fails to show the seat having multiple driving locations or the pedals being positionable transversly.

Smythe teaches a pedal adjustment arrangement comprising a track arrangement (see Figure 3) extending in three axis including a transverse track (along 70). Regarding claims 4 and 7, Smythe teaches a by-wire (63; see also column 21, lines 9-12) assembly.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bortolon with the teachings of Smythe to provide a transverse track arrangement such that the pedals could also be adjusted in a transverse direction in order to provide greater comfort for different drivers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bortolon with the teachings of Smythe to provide a by-wire drive system to operate the drive and braking systems in order to provide an improved and more sensitive operation of the vehicle.

Additionally, the examiner takes Official Notice that adjustable seats with multiple driving locations are old and well known in the art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the seat of Bortolon with an adjustable arrangement in order to allow different sized drivers to better position themselves with respect to the steering wheel and dashboard.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,509 Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

5. Claims 7-11 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salmon (US 4,683,977) in view of Bortolon.

Salmon discloses a by-wire (54) foot pedal system wherein the pedal is removably mounted in a vehicle floor area for reconfiguration of the driving location (by frame 18; the different attachment points are different driving locations), wherein the vehicle includes a plurality of driver interface points (27) at which the foot pedal is connectable (see column 2, lines 15-23). Regarding claim 7, the frame 26 is the guide member.

Salmon does not clearly show the pedal mounting in a vehicle floorboard.

Bortolon, as discussed above, shows an adjustable pedal mounting in a vehicle floorboard.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Salmon with the teachings of Bortolon to provide the adjustable pedal assembly of Salmon in a recess floorboard area as taught by Bortolon in order to provide the assembly in a secure location that would not be disturbed during normal vehicle operation.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 15-18 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,509 Page 5

Art Unit: 3616

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Dunn whose telephone number is 571-272-6670. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ďávid Dunn Primary Examiner Art Unit 3616